

**BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
LEON COUNTY, FLORIDA
WORKSHOP
Joint Workshop on the 2023 Cycle Comprehensive
Plan Amendments and Infill Development
March 7, 2023**

The County and City Commissioners met in a joint session on March 7, 2023, in the County Commission Chambers with Chair Nick Maddox presiding. Present were Vice-Chair Carolyn Cummings and Commissioners David T. O’Keefe, Christian Caban, Brian Welch, Bill Proctor, and Rick Minor. Along with Mayor John E. Dailey, City Commissioners Jack Porter, Jeremy Matlow, Curtis Richardson, and Diane Williams–Cox were in attendance. Also present were County Administrator Vincent Long, City Manager Reese Goad, County Attorney Chasity O’Steen, City Attorney Cassandra Jackson, and Clerk to the Board Secretary Beryl H. Wood, as well as members of the County and City staff.

Lead Staff/Project Team:

- Alan Rosenzweig, Deputy County Administrator
- Ken Morris, Assistant County Administrator
- Wayne Tedder, Assistant City Manager
- Benjamin H. Pingree, Director, Department of PLACE
- Artie White, Director, Tallahassee-Leon County Planning Department
- Mindy Mohrman, Administrator of Comprehensive Planning

Chair Maddox called the meeting to order at 1:00 p.m.

Artie White, Director, Tallahassee-Leon County Planning Department, shared a presentation on the joint workshop items: Comprehensive Plan amendments and infill developments.

Chair Maddox stated this is an informational workshop.

2023 Cycle Comprehensive Plan Amendments

Mr. White detailed this item provides information on the proposed 2023 Cycle amendments to the Tallahassee Leon County Comprehensive Plan. The purpose of the Joint Workshop was to provide County and City Commissioners an opportunity to review the amendments and request any additional information. Information requested at the workshop would be provided in the materials at subsequent public hearings. The 2023 Cycle includes one joint text amendment and six small-scale map amendments inside the City limits. The joint text amendment would require two joint public hearings, which have been scheduled for April 11 and June 13. Small-scale map amendments require only one public hearing. These amendments are inside City limits and therefore are scheduled for a March 22 public hearing at City Commission chambers.

Option 1: Conduct the Joint Workshop on the 2023 Cycle Comprehensive Plan Amendments

Mindy Mohrman, Administrator of Comprehensive Planning, presented on the 2023 Comprehensive Plan Amendment Cycle. She commented that it is a legal document that provides policies and guidance for future growth and development in Tallahassee and Leon County. She shared how the site development process will depend on land use and zoning: the allowed uses in these categories, is it an appropriate land use at this site, and is this consistent with the goals,

objectives, and policies in the Comprehensive Plan? She stated they are unsure what the final picture of the development looks like, as they are strictly focusing on land use in this stage of development. She presented a graph of all the prior and upcoming meetings regarding the Comprehensive Plan amendments. The next opportunity for public comment is March 22; this will be the adoption of small-scale map amendments. She briefly talked about the One Text Amendment – Joint City/County, also known as the Southside Action Plan.

- Initiated into the 2023 cycle by city commission at Jan 18th meeting.
- Amends Goal 11: [L]- Southern Strategy Area to reflect the adoption of the Southside Action Plan

Six Small-Scale Map Amendments – City

- Small Scale = 50 Acres or Less.
- All proposed map amendments are inside city limits.
- Inside Urban Services Area.
- Properties are currently developed.
- Requires one public hearing with the City Commission.

Southside Action Plan Text Amendment

- Southside Action Plan was adopted by City Commission at the January 8, 2023 meeting.
- Text Amendment was initiated into the 2023 Cycle by City Commission on January 18, 2023, meeting.
- Amends Goal 11:[L]- Southern Strategy Area to reflect the adoption of the Southside Action Plan.
- Text amendments require two public hearings with the City Commission and Board of County Commissioners.

Devan Leavins, Special Projects Administrator, detailed the background of the Southside Action Plan, which started in 2021. He gave an overview of where they are currently within the Plan. He highlighted the Southside Action Plan community engagement:

Community Survey

- Conducted surveys at Seventeen Southside Events.
- Survey Drop-Boxes at Tempo Offices & Public Library.
- Online survey at Talgov.com/Southside.

Community Events & Meetings

- Three Virtual Community Meetings.
- Two Drive-thru Engagement Events at Nims Middle School & Towne South Shopping Center.
- Six Pop-up Engagement Events.
- Multiple Community-Led Events with SAP Team participation.

Project Information

- Southside Action Plan Engagement on Talgov.com/Southside.
- Storefront Display at Towne South Shopping Center.

Direct Communications

- Email notices sent to 1,400 Southside Community Leaders.
- Thirty-nine Southside Churches.
- One hundred sixteen non-profits serving the Southside Community.
- 1,259 Businesses in the Southside.

Mr. Leavins discussed that through 600+ surveys from residents, workers, and property owners, the community wants to see beautification, investment, and engaged and activated citizens. Their goal is to make sure the language reflects the Southside Action Plan, and the “Southern Strategy Area” language is taken out of the Amendments.

Mindy Mohrman showcased five small-scale map amendments. She stated that small-scale areas are fifty acres or less and are currently underdeveloped.

Acknowledged public comments received by:

- Charlene White – Email submitted (3996 Columbus Court)
- Max Epstein – Email submitted (1001 San Luis Rd.)

She highlighted the 2023 Cycle includes seven proposed amendments; all of which were filed with the Planning Department before the September 23, 2022, deadline or publicly initiated by the Local Planning Agency bylaws and Intergovernmental Coordination Element of the Comprehensive Plan.

One amendment is considered a text amendment and addresses the text of goals, objectives, policies, and/or figures, tables, and maps that are not in the Future Land Use Map. This text amendment is described in more detail in this item.

Six of the amendments are proposed to amend the Future Land Use Map (FLUM) in the Land Use Element. These six amendments to the FLUM (map amendments) are located within City limits and are considered small-scale amendments, meaning they are fifty acres or less in size. As described in more detail in this item, these six small-scale map amendments include:

- **Amendment 1 Name: TTA 2023 009 - Adopts the framework for the Southside Action Plan**

Applicant: Tallahassee-Leon County Planning Department
Jurisdiction: Joint Leon County and City of Tallahassee

Synopsis: The proposed text amends Goal 11 [L] Southern Strategy Area to update goals, objectives, and policies related to the Southern Strategy Area and formally renames it as the Southside Action Plan. Additional updates to the vision statement, Utilities Element, Housing Element, and Capital Improvements Element to replace Southern Strategy Area with the adopted Southside Action Plan are included in this amendment.

- **Amendment 2 Name: TMA 2023 001 – 1718 – Mahan Drive to change the land use designation from Residential Preservation to Urban Residential 2**

Applicant: Tallahassee-Leon County Planning Department
Jurisdiction: City of Tallahassee

Synopsis: The proposed amendment is a two-parcel expansion. This property is currently a non-conforming use as the density is over the allotted amount for the residential preservation category. Staff is proposing an amendment to Urban Residential 2. Staff is also recommending a rezoning from Residential Preservation 2 to Medium Density Residential. This amendment would provide benefits such as containing mix-used housing and putting it in a conformed land use and zoning.

- **Amendment 3 Name: TMA 2023 003 – 5411 – Capital Circle SW to change the land use designation from Heavy Industrial to Suburban**

Applicant: Tallahassee-Leon County Planning Department

Jurisdiction: City of Tallahassee

Synopsis: The proposed map amendment from Suburban and concurrent rezoning to General Commercial (C-2) would be consistent with current land uses and trends in the area, where there has been more residential and commercial development. The rezoning allows for a variety of commercial uses meant to serve the nearby residential properties and meant to be located along primary roads. Ms. Mohrman stated this supported the goals of the Southside Action Plan, by providing new development, employment, and economic growth.

- **Amendment 4 Name: TMA 2023 004 – 3534 – Thomasville Road to change the land use designation from Lake Protection to High-Intensity Urban Activity Center**

Applicant: Tallahassee-Leon County Planning Department

Jurisdiction: City of Tallahassee

Synopsis: The proposed map amendment to the Activity Center (AC) and concurrent rezoning to the AC, would allow staff to include a city-owned parcel. This subject site is currently in Lake Protection and supports Lake Jackson and the land uses around it. As of now, these properties currently sit outside of the Lake Protection Zone. The proposed land use category is High-Intensity Urban activity center. The property is currently developed and has a vacant building on the land.

- **Amendment 5 Name: TMA 2023 005 – Lambert Heights and Merrivale Subdivisions to change the land use designation from Residential Preservation to University Transition.**

Applicant: Tallahassee-Leon County Planning Department

Jurisdiction: City of Tallahassee

Synopsis: The proposed map amendment to University Transition (UT), and concurrent rezoning to UT, would allow staff to include a city-owned parcel. The proposed map amendment keeps student housing from encroaching on viable neighborhoods that are farther away from the university. The West Pensacola Sector Plan, which was adopted in 2006, acknowledged that the preservation in this area wouldn't be the best long-term option. The trend has shown an increase in rental properties as opposed to homeowner housing. The reclassification will not change any existing land uses. Ms. Mohrman explained that during the LPA public hearing on February 7th, public speakers spoke against the amendment, and the LPA did recommend denial.

- **Amendment 6 Name: TMA 2023 006 – Tharpe Street at Old Bainbridge Road to change the land use designation from Government Operational to Suburban (WITHDRAWN)**
- **Amendment 7 Name: TMA 2023 007 – Westwood Plaza to change the land use designation from Government Operational to University Transition**

Applicant: Tallahassee-Leon County Planning Department

Jurisdiction: City of Tallahassee

Synopsis: The proposed map amendment to University Transition (UT), and concurrent rezoning to UT would allow all land use to be consistent.

Ms. Mohrman again shared the schedule for all the upcoming hearings.

City Commissioner Jacqueline “Jack” Porter asked for more details about why the local planning agency denied the proposed amendment.

Ms. Mohrman replied that their vote was based on being inconsistent with the current land use and public comments.

City Commissioner Porter asked how this differs from staff analysis.

Ms. Mohrman stated that staff analysis was based on it not meeting the intent of the residential preservation land use category due to the reduced number of homeowner-occupied homes.

City Commissioner Porter inquired if there is a forthcoming plan, regarding the Southside Action Plan. She mentioned that vision plans are stronger with something else behind it.

Devan Leavins, Special Projects Administrator, replied that they’re doing a one plus one plus five take on this topic. Their plan is putting actionable items within the strategic plan, in turn, this will provide metrics that can be tracked.

Commissioner O’Keefe asked about the language and policy of 11.3 and the policy of 11.31. He asked why they chose to use the language “*foster community involvement and celebration of southside*” and not something more specific.

Mr. Leavins detailed that when you’re looking at the Comprehensive Plan, you’re looking at something more high level, and through the Comprehensive Plan, that’s where they would implement more of that language.

Commissioner O’Keefe asked if the Comprehensive Plan would be what dictates the planning process, not the Strategic Plan when development comes through.

Mr. Leavins replied the Southside Action Plan is the draft plan that is currently available. He explained that the Southside Action Plan would be acted upon through the strategic plan.

Commissioner O’Keefe noted his concern is when the County Commission is voting, the members cannot vote based on a strategic plan. He stated they base their decisions on the Comprehensive Plan and Land Development Code. His concern about that specific language of the Comprehensive Plan directs Commissioners to not only foster engagement, but to base their decisions on engagement. He stated that they are not able to make decisions based on the strategic plan, which is why he’s gathering as much information so he could ask if they could include this language within the Comprehensive Plan as well.

Mr. Leavins stated that he and his team have engaged with the community for the last two years. He explained that the community wants engagement. He stressed they are asking for investment and beautification.

Commissioner O’Keefe asked if instead of just receiving the report, was there any consideration to track in this report the project outcomes to the community input that we have received.

Mr. Leavins replied that they are currently tracking this trend.

Commissioner O’Keefe stated his concern about the existing language for the strike all, the new plan mentioned housing, homeownership, business, and public infrastructure. He pointed out the old language mentioned health facilities and public-school improvements, and he was

wondering if the community input reflected that the language does not need to be included anymore.

Mr. Leavins replied they are trying to revise this language; they're focusing on this along the way. He noted this won't be the last time they would be looking into these issues.

Commissioner O'Keefe asked if this will be reflected in the Southside Action Plan.

Mr. Leavins answered "yes", this will be included.

Commissioner O'Keefe recalled another portion that concerned him and asked if this specific piece was in the process of being removed. He explained in the old item, 11.41, the language that is specific to new heavy infrastructure such as wastewater, correctional facilities, airports, and power plants serve a larger than the neighborhoods in the areas of southside, shall be located outside of the strategy area. He stated it is not specified in this language and his concern is that this opens the door for heavy infrastructure use in the Southside Action Plan area, which does nothing to serve them. He wants to make sure the southside is protected from this.

Mr. Leavins noted these infrastructures are already prominent in the southside. He doesn't predict they will be receiving any more of those structures. He pointed out that in the plan it showcases developable land, and there is not a lot of land to construct on.

Chair Maddox asked what part of the Southside Action Plan is in an unincorporated area.

Mr. Leavins replied it's about 20%.

Chair Maddox inquired where is this 20%.

Mr. Leavins noted the zone is within a triangle.

Chair Maddox thanked the team for all their work. He complimented the City on the great job the Commissioners have done. He wanted to know how often they report back on the unincorporated areas.

Mr. Leavins shared the last report the Commission received was during last year's retreat.

Chair Maddox stated how proud he is of the City and all the Commissioners hard work. He addressed the Commission, stating that although the County Commission hasn't made a lot of decisions about the southside action plan itself, the City has put in an immense amount of work on this plan. He stated that the City makes up about 80% decision-making of this plan, and he asked the Commissioners to dig into what part they can play for the remaining 20%.

Commissioner Procter acknowledged Brenda Williams for the Southside City work she's doing. He acknowledged the Tallahassee Housing Authority and all its leadership. He commented on the progress the city is making and how he remembers where it started. He stated all the positives of the southside, but affordability remains an issue.

Commissioner Procter shared that this is one of the most challenging areas. He would say 30% of people in 6-7 years will not be able to stay here. He stated these negatives are alarming. He commented on the cost of rent, "[T]hese people will not make it." He shared that rent will be problematic for this area.

Commissioner Proctor's next concern was Capital Circle and the possibilities for commercial development. He's excited but concerned it could have a double-edged sword effect with the corridor around the airport. He asked if they could do something more focused.

Commissioner Proctor noted that they needed a marketed effort. He presented a map and stated the landfill will take care of itself. He commented another generation won't be able to hang on due to cost and declining affordability. He doesn't know what we can do regarding this problem, but we need a special focus on Capital Circle.

Commissioner Proctor mentioned how the most economically valued area is the area surrounding the Flea Market, and it's not in its best shape. He mentioned how we are getting a \$100 million dollar highway, but we need to put this flow of money in the places that matter. He asked what the Commissioners can do.

Commissioner Proctor cited how the closing of CVS on the Southside is doing damage to communities. He asked where people are going to get their medicine. He continued by asking if we could punish people for leaving under these conditions. He stated a pharmaceutical store is closing in the sickest part of town and this left a lot of people without access to life and life support.

Commissioner Proctor stated he's concerned with how we can strengthen the relationship with corporations, so they don't leave. He continued by saying it seems like the big cooperation's are walking away. He is okay with development at Woodville Highway and Capital Circle. He's also interested in the caliber of infrastructure that we are bringing to this community. He asked if we could have a no-fly zone, why are the airplanes so low and loud?

Commissioner Minor acknowledged the City for all their work on the action plan.

City Commissioner Richardson shared his appreciation of everyone's concern regarding the Southside Action Plan. He mentioned he has lived on the Southside for 30 years. He became a commissioner so he could work on the issues regarding the Southside. He reiterated that he built his home on the south side of the town for these reasons.

City Commissioner Richardson shared the reason why they're committed to making improvements. He explained that when they build the Southside back up, they will attract development. Stating once you build the infrastructure, they will come. He stated all those projects must happen for us to see the changes that we want to see made. He continued by saying they would be committed to making the changes for not only the Southside but surrounding areas. He thanked everyone for their questions and curiosity about making the Southside great.

Commissioner Matlow appreciated the comments from the County, and the comments only make the Comprehensive Plan better. He hears the concerns of how our dollars will make not only the Southside but the surrounding areas better.

Chair Maddox detailed that he has a tremendous amount of respect for the City Commission. He has confidence in their plan and their actions. He points out that there is a feeling of togetherness when discussing this issue.

Commissioner Proctor agreed that the staff has done their best work. He stated we must fight hard for our community. He wanted to point out two more elements within the map; there is a transportation element within the Plan, which is to improve and enhance the flow of traffic. He stated further that it does violence to the transportation element in the strategy. He stated it's

unbelievable that this roundabout frustrates transportation. He believed that the fairground is a locational site, and we need the fairground inside of this space to stand up and be somebody, more than ten days out of the year. He mentioned that sometimes there is a gun show, but we need a site specific to the fairground. He wanted the fairground as an activity center urgently.

Mayor Dailey supported Commissioner Proctor in his statements regarding how the fairground needed to be changed to an activity center. He shared he couldn't wait to hear his comments in the next Blueprint workshop.

Mayor Pro Team Williams-Cox thanked the Commissioners for their comments. She wanted to caution that this has been a long time coming, and they cannot go from 0 to 75, they want to do it right. She went on to say we cannot expect to have that focus now but they're doing their best. She stated that it is not an easy job while receiving community input. She thanked the staff for the work they have done; it's taken their weekends and nights.

Commissioner Caban thanked the City for their comments. He stated that rezoning does create better zoning in his district. He said there were a lot of opportunities in Capital Circle and agreed with the comments Commissioner Proctor made. Additionally, he believed that the Innovation Park is being completely underutilized.

Commissioner Proctor inquired about removing the Florida State University golf course for more property.

Commissioner Caban stated he would have to investigate that in more depth.

County:

Commissioner Minor moved, seconded by Vice-Chair Cummings, to Approve Option #1: Conduct the Joint Workshop on the 2023 Cycle Comprehensive Plan Amendments.

The motion carried 7-0.

City:

City Commissioner Williams-Cox moved, seconded by Mayor John E. Dailey, to approve Option #1: Conduct the Joint Workshop on the 2023 Cycle Comprehensive Plan Amendments.

The motion carried 5-0.

Status Report on Infill Development

Mr. White shared a brief presentation on defining urban infill development and redevelopment. As requested by the County and City Commissions, this item provided an overview of infill development within the City of Tallahassee and urbanized portions of unincorporated Leon County. This report provided Commissioners an opportunity to review how infill development is encouraged locally, the challenges to infill development, what other communities are doing to promote infill development, and policy options for consideration.

He defined urban infill development as development patterns that attempt to prevent sprawl. He listed some benefits that include reactivating underutilized sites in ways that align with community needs, promoting the efficiency of infrastructure, and preventing the continuous expansion of development and preservation of natural areas. He mentioned development patterns within different Tallahassee projects.

Mr. White stated that the traditional model of development used more land. He presented local examples of large-scale urban infill, including Camelia Oaks. He showed pictures of single-family detached and duplexes. Gaines Street was shown next; he presented Gaines Street before the redevelopment after. He showed Magnolia Oaks (Multi-family Residential) and Gardens at Glenview (Single-family detached.) He explained the strategies that encourage infill development which is included in the Comprehensive Plan follows: the future land use map, urban services area, and multimodal transportation district (MMTD).

Mr. White detailed in-depth the Urban Services Area. He explained it was established on July 16, 1990, and has been modified seventeen times since its establishment. He continued by saying it has increased in size by 2.68%, and Leon County's population has increased by 55.4% in that time. He discussed the current opportunities in land use and mobility element updates. He explained that those current opportunities included assessing the effectiveness of current goals, objectives, and policies and the opportunity to revise elements to align with land use and transportation needs of the community.

Mr. Artie White concluded his discussion and opened the floor for questions.

Commissioner Minor thanked Mr. White for the presentation and all his work on the agenda item. He asked in addition to projected housing growth, what can we do to meet the growth goal? He continued that if a consultant is working with you, do you currently have enough information to answer those questions? He understood we can't force people to develop, but where can we develop to make growth in these areas? His main question was if these answers will be provided by the consultant to Mr. White.

Mr. White answered yes and recalled how consultants have provided valuable information in past projects.

Commissioner O'Keefe thanked the staff for the information. He asked if they could do anything to increase community engagement, as this is a very important issue for him. He mentioned the analysis brings up the possibility of changing ADU requirements to remove minimum lot size. He wanted to know if that's on schedule and if that be a policy that comes before the City, County, or both.

Mr. White stated this is an opportunity for the County to revisit, as the City has recently updated its policy.

Commissioner Proctor presented a map, previously prepared for review. He stated that we have a split between South Monroe and South Central. He stated how little activity is occurring in this area. He explained that we've had improvements on Gaines Street but when we speak on other southern parts of Tallahassee, a lot has not changed.

Commissioner Proctor stated there aren't a lot of changes in either of the following graphs he presented. He shared an ownership map. He stated that we need to plan for this area. He recalled how the fairground gave up property over by the flea market. He stated how the federal government isn't doing anything, and they can't justify anything. He stated something must change.

Commissioner Proctor motioned that the City and County come together to create a plan to enhance our federal government.

Commissioner Proctor moved, seconded by Vice-Chair Cummings.

Chair Maddox explained that Commissioner Proctor would need to make a policy motion for action at the next meeting as opposed to this workshop.

Commissioner Proctor rephrased his motion with the intent to make a motion for the next meeting regarding exploring ways to work with the federal government to affect the transfer of some of the national forest for affordable housing and a homelessness encampment.

Commissioner Proctor moved, seconded by Vice-Chair Cummings.

Commissioner Minor commented he couldn't support the motion at the moment. He recommended that Commissioner Proctor make the motion at the next meeting as there are too many unanswered questions.

Commissioner Proctor explained that he cannot see Commissioner Minor's reservations about not exploring more options for properties.

Chair Maddox supported Commissioner Minor by saying that we need more support for Commissioner Proctor's motion. He stated that he needs more information from the staff.

Commissioner Caban stated he would support it for discussion purposes, but he doesn't believe that the people in his community would support it. He shared his vision of what he's trying to achieve, but he stated he would need more information.

Vice-Chair Cummings stated she seconded the motion because she understood it was exploratory. She asks the attorney for clarification on the exploratory motion.

Chair Maddox asked if staff could gather information, so the motion doesn't fail without proper exploration. He stated that if the motion passed and was ratified at the next meeting, staff would work on an agenda item for this.

Commissioner Proctor withdrew his motion based on confusion.

Chair Maddox asked if all that information would be brought back to the Commissioners as well, so everyone will be informed properly.

Commissioner Welch stated he couldn't support this motion. He stated that he couldn't fathom during a meeting regarding infill, to discuss and support these changes. He expressed that Mr. White and his team have done a good job in gathering information for the Commissioners. He believed that we need to be careful with our ideas. He understood Commissioner Caban's hesitance in building in a national forest in his district.

Commissioner Minor stated he agreed with Commissioner Welch. He's not trying to start an argument, but he respects his desire to look more into this but he's declining for now.

Vice-Chair Cummings stated that she appreciated Mr. White and his staff. She asked does our advocacy start with an application from the developer, and where the County government advocacy starts.

Mr. White stated that this is usually applicant-based. He mentioned that there have been many times when they have clarified something that has been considered from the Comprehensive Plan.

Vice-Chair Cummings acknowledged the County and City for working together. She stated that there are a lot of issues to consider. She explained that we must be innovative. She shared her stance on why she seconded Commissioner Proctor's motion because they must explore and think outside of the box as beneficial for the citizens. She stated that she believed everyone should consider Commissioner Proctor's exploratory request before saying no. She mentioned the answer may still be no, but we should be open-minded to what he's requesting.

*Commissioner Proctor moved, seconded by Vice-Chair Cummings, to approve Option # 1. Option # 1: Accept the report on infill development and redevelopment.
The motion carried 7-0.*

City Commissioner Porter asked Mr. White about a slide in his presentation. She mentioned that his presentation stated that all development started in 2002 or 2007 has been within the Urban Service Area (USA), does that include development when we expanded the USA?

Mr. White stated this would be the most up-to-date development plan.

City Commissioner Porter stated she's excited to see what the consultants will come up with. She shared she understood there will be a lot of pushbacks, but this is too important to not consider.

*Commissioner Williams –Cox moved, seconded by Commissioner Richardson, for Option # 1. Option # 1: Accept the report on infill development and redevelopment.
The motion carried 5-0.*

City Commissioner Richardson asked to keep in mind gentrification. He encouraged homeownership in these areas. He's concerned about the high rising rent within the area. He used downtown Jacksonville as an example. He mentioned Jacksonville's homeless population is mostly African American. He stated he doesn't want this to happen in Tallahassee.

Commissioner Proctor commented on Allen Williams, Deputy Assistant Secretary, Intergovernmental Affairs, US Department Housing & Urban Development and pondered Mr. Williams' capacity to reach the Secretary about property that could be obtained for affordable housing in his district that is owned by the Federal Government.


Adjourn:


There being no further business to come before the Board, the workshop was adjourned at 2:57 p.m.

LEON COUNTY, FLORIDA

ATTEST:



BY: 
Gwendolyn Marshall Knight, Clerk of Court
& Comptroller, Leon County, Florida

BY: 
Nick Maddox, Chairman
Board of County Commissioners

